



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/711,912

10/12/2004

Mark Viklund

7298.098.NPUS02

5911

28694 7590 03/19/2008

NOVAK DRUCE + QUIGG LLP  
1300 EYE STREET NW  
SUITE 1000 WEST TOWER  
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

EXAMINER

OLSON, MARGARET LINNEA

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3782

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/19/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/711,912	<b>Applicant(s)</b> VIKLUND ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> MARGARET L. OLSON	<b>Art Unit</b> 3782	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MARGARET L. OLSON. (3) Tracy Druce.

(2) Nathan Newhouse. (4) Jason Bryan.

Date of Interview: 12 March 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: Mr. Druce and Mr. Bryan demonstrated two examples of container struts to elucidate their claims.

Claim(s) discussed: 8, 13, 14 and 23.

Identification of prior art discussed: Hirtsiefer (US 5,546,705), Parker et al. (US 3,640,423).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: After a discussion of the amendments of 1/17/08, applicants have agreed to file a supplemental amendment including claims and drawings, for the purposes of clarification.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Nathan J Newhouse/  
SPE, Art Unit 3782

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action. Examiner's signature, if required